metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Zhi-Feng Li,* Chun-Xiang Wang, Ping Wang and Qing-Hua Zhang

School of Material and Chemical Engineering, Jiangxi University of Science and Technology, Ganzhou 341000, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: jxlzfeng@yahoo.com.cn

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.007 \text{ Å}$ R factor = 0.029 wR factor = 0.083 Data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Poly[[bis[pentaaquagadolinium(III)]-μ₄-benzene-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexacarboxylato] tetrahydrate]

The title compound, {[$Gd_2(C_{12}O_{12})(H_2O)_{10}$]· $4H_2O$ }_n, consists of an extended network of Gd ions coordinated by the mellitate anions and water molecules. In this complex, each Gd atom involves a dodecahedral coordination environment comprising five water molecules and three O atoms from two separate mellitate anions. The center of mass of the hexaanion [$C_6(COO)_6$]⁶⁻ coincides with a crystallographic inversion center.

Comment

The title compound, (I), is isostructural with its $[M_2\{C_6(COO)_6\}(H_2O)_{10}]\cdot 4H_2O$ [M = Y; Robl & Hentschel, 1992), Yb (Wu *et al.*, 1996) and Er (Deluzet & Guillou, 2003) analogs. As illustrated in Fig. 1, the Gd atom possesses dodecahedral coordination geometry (Table 1), in which the Gd–O bond distances range from 2.327 (3) to 2.425 (3) Å, with an average bond distance of 2.393 Å, similar to those found in the previously reported isostructural complexes.



A crystallographic inversion center is located at the center of the benzene ring of the mellitate ligand. Each of the six carboxylate groups displays a monodentate coordination mode and those attached to atoms C3 and C5 bind the same Gd^{III} ion, forming a seven-membered chelate ring. In this mode, each mellitate group bridges four metal centers, yielding a two-dimensional sheet structure. The carboxylate C atoms deviate from the least-squares plane of the benzene ring by 0.012 (8)–0.090 (8) Å. The dihedral angle between the mean plane of the monodentate carboxylate group and the

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved Received 24 March 2006

Accepted 27 March 2006



Figure 1

The coordination environment of the Gd^{III} ion in (I), showing 45% probability displacement ellipsoids. [Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.]

benzene ring ring varies little, ranging from 51.8(5) to $71.5(4)^{\circ}$. Such an unstrained conformation of the mellitate anion is presumably an essential element in respect of the arrangement of the two-dimensional sheet structure.

All of the water molecules are involved in a complex hydrogen-bonding network. Each of the water molecules has at least one hydrogen-bonding interaction (Table 2), and these make a significant contribution to the stability of the crystal structure.

Experimental

For the synthesis, $GdCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.38 g, 1.0 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of mellitic acid (0.11 g, 0.5 mmol) and NaOH (0.03 g, 1.5 mmol) in CH₃OH/H₂O (50 ml, 1:1 ν/ν). The resulting mixture was further stirred for *ca* 30 min to yield a colorless solution, which was then maintained at 323 K, and colorless crystals grew after two days.

 $D_x = 2.345 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Cell parameters from 156

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

reflections

 $\mu=5.26~\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$

T = 295 (2) K

Column, colorless

 $0.21 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

 $\theta=1.8{-}26.5^\circ$

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\mathrm{Gd}_2(\mathrm{C}_{12}\mathrm{O}_{12})(\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O})_{10}]\cdot 4\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{O}\\ & M_r = 451.42\\ & \mathrm{Monoclinic}, \ P_{2,1}/n\\ & a = 8.510 \ (1) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}}\\ & b = 9.277 \ (1) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}}\\ & c = 16.464 \ (2) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}}\\ & \beta = 100.337 \ (2)^\circ\\ & V = 1278.7 \ (3) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}}^3\\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD	2701 independent reflections
area-detector diffractometer	2448 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
φ and ω scans	$R_{\rm int} = 0.014$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.8^{\circ}$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.479, \ T_{\max} = 0.663$	$k = -11 \rightarrow 12$
6721 measured reflections	$l = -8 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0525P)^2 + 5.4257P]$
$vR(F^2) = 0.083$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.02	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
2701 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.88 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
182 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.64 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction correction: SHELXL97
	Extinction coefficient: 0.0016 (4)

Table 1

|--|

$Gd = O4^{4}$	2.402 (3)	Gd-O9	2.402 (4)
$Gd = O6^{4}$	2.398 (3)	Gd-O10	2.425 (3)
Gd = O7	2.380 (5)	Gd-O11	2.391 (4)
Gd-O1	2.327 (3)	Gd-08	2.421 (5)
$Gd-O4^{i}$	2.402 (3)	Gd-09	2.402 (4)

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geome	etry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O7-H7A\cdots O13^{ii}$	0.82	2.05	2.818 (8)	155
$O8-H8B\cdots O3^{iii}$	0.82	2.06	2.835 (4)	158
$O9-H9A\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.82	2.21	2.911 (3)	143
$O10-H10A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.82	2.10	2.897 (3)	163
$O10-H10B\cdots O4^{iii}$	0.82	1.97	2.783 (5)	174
$O11-H11A\cdots O13^{i}$	0.82	1.96	2.762 (7)	167
$O11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot O1^{iv}$	0.82	2.45	3.199 (5)	152
$O12-H12A\cdots O5^{v}$	0.82	1.92	2.74 (1)	175
$O13-H13A\cdots O3^{v}$	0.82	2.10	2.919 (4)	176
$O13-H13B\cdots O12^{vi}$	0.82	2.38	3.08 (2)	143

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (v) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (vi) x + 1, y, z.

All H atoms were located in difference maps and then treated as riding atoms, with O–H distances of 0.82 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 0.05 \text{ Å}^2$.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

The project was supported by Jiangxi Provincial Educational Foundation (grant No. 2005–146) and Jiangxi University of Science and Technology Foundation (grant No. 2003–1).

References

Bruker (2004). SMART (Version 6.0), SAINT (Version 6.0) and SHELXTL (Version 5.1).
Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
Deluzet, A. & Guillou, O. (2003). Acta Cryst. C59, m277-m279.
Robl, C. & Hentschel, S. (1992). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 47, 1561–1565.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Wu, L. P., Munakata, M., Kuroda-Sowa, T., Maekawa, M. & Suenage, Y. (1996). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 249, 183–189.